

DYNAMIC INSTABILITY CRITERION FOR CIRCULAR (VORTON) STRING LOOPS

Brandon Carter and Xavier Martin

*Département d'Astrophysique Relativiste et de Cosmologie, C.N.R.S,
Observatoire de Paris, 92 195 Meudon, France.*

March, 1993.

Abstract. Dynamic perturbation equations are derived for a generic stationary state of an elastic string model – of the kind appropriate for representing a superconducting cosmic string – in a flat background. In the case of a circular equilibrium (i.e. vorton) state of a closed string loop it is shown that the fundamental axisymmetric ($n = 0$) and lowest order ($n = 1$) nonaxisymmetric perturbation modes can never be unstable. However, stability for modes of higher order ($n \geq 2$) is found to be non-trivially dependent on the values of the characteristic propagation velocity, c say, of longitudinal perturbations and of the corresponding extrinsic perturbation velocity, v say. For each mode number the criterion for instability is the existence of nonreal roots for a certain cubic eigenvalue equation for the corresponding mode frequency. A very simple sufficient but not necessary condition for reality of the roots and therefore absence of instability is that the characteristic velocity ratio, c/v be greater than or equal to unity. Closer examination of the low velocity (experimentally accessible) nonrelativistic regime shows that in that limit the criterion for instability is just that the dimensionless characteristic ratio c/v be less than a critical value χ_c whose numerical value is approximately $\frac{1}{2}$. In the relativistic regime that is relevant to superconducting cosmic strings the situation is rather delicate, calling for more detailed investigation that is postponed for future work.

1. The fundamental dynamical equations of an elastic string model

The ultimate motivation of the present work is to deal with a problem that was implicitly raised when it was first pointed out by Davis and Shellard [1-3] that superconducting string loops might be “stabilised” (as “vortons”) by centrifugal force (which can be expected to be very much stronger than the inefficient magnetic “spring” repulsion effect that had been previously considered by some authors [4-7]) with the implication that (unlike nonconducting cosmic string loops) such current carrying loops might survive long enough to produce a cosmological mass excess. However, although Davis and Shellard provided the first examples of a general category of circular rotating equilibrium states, their use of the term “stabilisation” was rather premature at that stage because they had not carried out any verification of the actual stability of such equilibria with respect to ordinary macroscopic dynamical

perturbations. (What they did do was to consider the range of parameters for which the equilibrium would be able to survive against macroscopic quantum tunnelling.) It was in fact fairly easy to confirm that the equilibrium states in question would be stable against strictly axisymmetric (circularity conserving) perturbations [8, 9] but the present work constitutes what, as far as we know, is the first investigation of stability of centrifugally supported string loops against general nonaxisymmetric perturbations. The range of the present study is not limited to the strongly relativistic regime relevant to applications to cosmic strings (which will be considered in more detail in a following article) but covers quite general elastic string models including those relevant to the experimentally accessible nonrelativistic limit.

When we first set out on this investigation we had expected that its outcome would be expressible simply as a general stability theorem – confirming for generic dynamical perturbations what we already knew to be the case for axisymmetric ones. However, the picture that actually emerges is less simple and more interesting. It turns out that, whereas for many kinds of string model the circular equilibrium states will indeed always be stable, nevertheless there are other models for which some or even all of the circular equilibrium states are actually unstable. The domain of instability is not restricted to the strongly relativistic regime relevant for superconducting cosmic string theory and its cosmological implications (which, as remarked above, will be considered in more detail in a subsequent article) but it extends down into the Newtonian regime. What will be confirmed, however, is that the requirements for dynamic stability will always be satisfied in the Hookean (low tension) limit.

The plan of this work is as follows. After completing this introductory section by summarising the general principles governing the dynamics of the category of elastic string models under consideration, we go on to provide, in Section 2, a brief derivation of the conditions for stationary equilibrium with respect to a nonrotating frame in a flat background. Section 3 contains a derivation of the local dynamic equations governing the evolution of small perturbations of such equilibrium states, and Section 4 describes the way to obtain global solutions of these equations for cases in which the unperturbed equilibrium states are circular (the study of more general equilibrium states being left for subsequent work).

Any two-dimensional worldsheet can be conveniently described [8,10] in terms of some chosen orthonormal frame consisting of mutually orthogonal timelike and spacelike tangent vectors u^μ and v^μ as characterised by

$$u^\mu u_\mu = -1, \quad u^\mu v_\mu = 0, \quad v^\mu v_\mu = 1, \quad (1.1)$$

on the understanding that we are using units such that the speed of light is set to unity. In particular, any such frame can be used to provide an explicit representation of the antisymmetric tangent element tensor of the surface, which will be expressible as

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} = u^\mu v^\nu - u^\nu v^\mu, \quad (1.2)$$

and which is well defined – independently of the choice of frame – modulo an ambiguity of sign which is resolved by a choice of orientation in the surface. The square of this surface element tensor gives the first fundamental tensor of the worldsheet as

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{E}^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{E}_\rho{}^\nu = -u^\mu u^\nu + v^\mu v^\nu, \quad (1.3)$$

which is geometrically well defined, without any ambiguity at all, as also is the second fundamental tensor $K_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho$, which is defined (for an embedded surface of arbitrary dimension) in terms of the corresponding first fundamental tensor by the formula

$$K_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = \eta_\mu{}^\sigma \tilde{\nabla}_\nu \eta_\sigma{}^\rho, \quad (1.4)$$

where the surface tangential covariant differentiation operator is defined by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu = \eta_\mu{}^\nu \nabla_\nu. \quad (1.5)$$

As well as the obvious orthogonality and tangentiality properties

$$K_{\mu\nu}{}^\sigma \eta_\sigma{}^\rho = 0, \quad \perp_\sigma{}^\mu K_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

where the orthogonal projection operator is defined by

$$\perp_\nu{}^\mu = g_\nu{}^\mu - \eta_\nu{}^\mu, \quad (1.7)$$

the second fundamental tensor has the nontrivial Weingarten symmetry property [8, 11, 12] expressible – using square brackets to denote index antisymmetrisation – as

$$K_{[\mu\nu]}{}^\rho = 0. \quad (1.8)$$

As in the preceding investigation of local stability conditions and characteristic speeds [8, 11], condition (1.8) will play an important role in the calculation that follows, since although it will hold automatically as an identity if $K_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho$ is derived from a two-surface that is already specified, on the other hand it must be deliberately imposed as an indispensable (Frobenius type) integrability condition if one chooses, as we shall do in this work, to use an approach in which the surface is considered as a secondary construct determined implicitly by prior specification of the surface element $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}$. Our reason for using such an approach – i.e. for considering the world sheet to be constructed from the tangent tensor $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}$ rather than the other way round – is that, although our ultimate purpose is to consider the world sheet of a single isolated string loop, it will be computationally convenient to consider it as a member of a fictitious space-filling congruence of string loops, each separately subject to the same dynamical laws. This will enable us to treat quantities such as $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}$ as ordinary space-filling tensor fields free from the restriction of having their support restricted to any single world sheet. This facilitates the use of an economically covariant formalism that avoids the need to become involved in the questions of choice of gauge that would arise if we used an approach of the more traditional kind involving the explicit specification of the string worldsheet in some particular coordinate gauge.

In addition to the purely geometrical evolution condition (1.8) the calculation that follows will depend on the use of appropriate extrinsic and internal dynamical equations. For any classical string model – in the limit in which finite thickness effects including polarisation are neglected – the extrinsic dynamical equation of motion governing the motion of the world sheet will be given, whenever external forces are absent as is postulated in the present work, by a “generalised sail equation” [8, 11, 12] of the form

$$\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu} K_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = 0, \quad (1.9)$$

where $\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}$ is the relevant (surface) stress momentum energy density tensor, whose specification will depend on the kind of string model under consideration. (For example, in the well known degenerate case of a Goto-Nambu string model the tensor $\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}$ is simply proportional to the fundamental tensor $\eta^{\mu\nu}$.)

There is no loss of generality in considering $\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}$ to be specified by its eigenvalues, T and U say, which are interpretable respectively as the intrinsic string tension and as the energy density in the preferred rest frame determined by its spacelike and timelike eigenvalues v^μ and u^μ which can conveniently be used to specify the choice of the frame introduced in (1.1). The surface stress momentum energy density is thus expressible in the generic form

$$\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu} = U u^\mu u^\nu - T v^\mu v^\nu, \quad (1.10)$$

where what distinguishes one kind of string model from another are the rules specifying the energy density U and the tension T .

Although more complicated possibilities can be envisaged (and would be necessary for dealing with cases in which internal dissipation is important), our present study will be limited to the category of simple elastic string models, in which the rules for specifying U and T consist just of a single equation of state specifying one of them as a monotonic function of the other. (The Goto-Nambu case can be classified within this category as the degenerate limit case in which U and T are fixed with the same value $U = T = m^2$, where m is a constant with the dimensionality of mass in units of the kind used in the present work for which the speed of light is set to unity.) As well as providing a good description for such familiar examples as ordinary violin strings, such simple elastic string models are also [8, 9, 13] appropriate for the more exotic case of superconducting cosmic string models whose investigation provided the primary motivation for this work and which are adequately described by the formalism used here, provided that electromagnetic coupling corrections (of the kind investigated by Peter [14, 15] are unimportant – as will commonly be the case in the cosmological applications we have in mind [1-3, 16, 17].

Whatever the equation of state may be, the internal equations of motion for such a simple elastic string model can be shown [8, 9] to reduce just to a pair of surface current conservation laws expressible as

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\rho(\nu u^\rho) = 0, \quad (1.11)$$

and

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\rho(\mu v^\rho) = 0, \quad (1.12)$$

where ν and μ are a number density variable and an associated effective mass variable – or chemical potential – which are determined by the equation of state. It is convenient to consider the equation of state to be specified by the expression for the energy density U as a function of the number density ν . The corresponding function for the chemical potential μ will then be given as the derivative

$$\mu = dU/d\nu, \quad (1.13)$$

while finally the function for the string tension T will be given by

$$T = U - \nu\mu. \quad (1.14)$$

It is to be remarked for reference later on that the number conservation law (1.11) can be expressed in the equivalent adjoint form

$$\mathcal{E}^{\rho\sigma}\nabla_\rho(\nu v_\sigma) = 0, \quad (1.15)$$

while the analogous irrotationality condition obtained as the adjoint of (1.12) is

$$\mathcal{E}^{\rho\sigma}\nabla_\rho(\mu u_\sigma) = 0. \quad (1.15)$$

In terms of the preferred reference frame introduced by (1.10) the extrinsic equation of motion (1.9) for the world sheet can be written in the more explicit form

$$\perp^\mu_\rho (U u^\nu \nabla_\nu u^\rho - T v^\nu \nabla_\nu v^\rho) = 0, \quad (1.17)$$

while, finally, the geometric integrability condition (1.8) will take the form

$$\perp^\mu_\rho (u^\nu \nabla_\nu v^\rho - v^\nu \nabla_\nu u^\rho) = 0. \quad (1.18)$$

2. Equilibrium with respect to a static Killing vector in a flat background

The concept of equilibrium is generally understood to mean invariance with respect to an at least locally timelike Killing vector k^μ of the relevant background spacetime, where the Killing condition for the invariance of the background space-time metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ itself is expressible in terms of Riemannian covariant differentiation as

$$\nabla_{(\mu} k_{\nu)} = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

using round brackets to indicate symmetrisation. The Killing vector is said to be *static* in the special case in which it also satisfies the irrotationality condition

$$k_{[\mu} \nabla_\nu k_{\rho]} = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

In the case of a flat background spacetime, the combination of the Killing condition (2.1) and the staticity condition (2.2) is expressible simply as the condition of being covariantly constant, i.e.

$$\nabla_\mu k_\nu = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

which is the equation characterising a simple linear translation. Subject to the appropriate signature convention, we assume that the generator k^μ is timelike by imposing the usual normalisation condition

$$k^\mu k_\mu = -1. \quad (2.4)$$

The requirement that the string should be in equilibrium with respect to k^μ includes as a first – purely topological – condition the requirement that k^μ should be tangential to its world sheet. It follows that at each point in the world sheet it will be possible to choose an orthogonal spacelike unit tangent vector e^μ say, as characterised by

$$e^\mu e_\mu = 1, \quad e^\mu k_\mu = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

in terms of which the fundamental tensor of the world sheet will be expressible as

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} = -k^\mu k^\nu + e^\mu e^\nu, \quad (2.6)$$

where e^μ is uniquely determined by a single global choice of orientation on the (topologically cylindrical) world sheet of the string loop.

The condition of equilibrium with respect to a vector field k^μ means that any physically well defined tensor field on the world sheet should be invariant under its action. With respect to a vector k^μ satisfying the covariant constancy condition (2.3) (which implies that the corresponding Lie differentiation operation reduces to one of direct covariant differentiation) the condition of invariance simply means that the corresponding covariant derivative should vanish. Thus in the case of the spacelike unit vector e^μ the invariance condition is simply

$$k^\nu \nabla_\nu e^\mu = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Using this in conjunction with (2.3) we can immediately evaluate the second fundamental tensor (1.4) of the world sheet as

$$K_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = e_\mu e_\nu K^\rho, \quad (2.8)$$

where its trace, the curvature vector K^ρ , is given by

$$K^\rho = K^\nu{}_\nu{}^\rho = e^\nu \nabla_\nu e^\rho. \quad (2.9)$$

Although we are restricting ourselves to cases of equilibrium with respect to a Killing vector that is static (i.e. the present work does not include consideration of equilibrium with respect to a rotating frame) we cannot impose the requirement that the equilibrium itself be static in the strictest sense – which would mean that the intrinsically preferred unit vector u^μ of the string would be aligned with the Killing vector generator k^μ – since (as shown by previous more general studies [18, 19]) such a strictly static equilibrium is possible only for states in which the string is actually *straight*, meaning that K^μ should vanish everywhere along it, a condition which is obviously incompatible with the closed loop topology under consideration here. The kind of equilibrium states to be investigated here are not strictly static but merely stationary, which means they will be characterised by a *nonzero* “running velocity”, v say, expressing the deviation of the intrinsically preferred timelike unit stress momentum energy eigenvector u^μ of the string from the Killing vector k^μ , so that it will be expressible in the form

$$u^\mu = \gamma(k^\mu + v e^\mu), \quad (2.10)$$

while similarly (subject to the appropriate sign convention) the corresponding spacelike unit stress momentum energy eigenvector v^μ will be expressible in the form

$$v^\mu = \gamma(v k^\mu + e^\mu), \quad (2.11)$$

where γ is the relevant Lorentz factor, i.e.

$$\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1-v^2}. \quad (2.12)$$

It follows from the results of the previous paragraph that the derivatives appearing in the integrability condition (1.18) will be expressible as

$$u^\nu \nabla_\nu v^\mu = v^\nu \nabla_\nu u^\mu = v \gamma^2 K^\mu, \quad (2.13)$$

while those appearing in the equation of extrinsic motion (1.17) will be given by

$$u^\nu \nabla_\nu u^\mu = v^2 \gamma^2 K^\mu, \quad v^\nu \nabla_\nu v^\mu = \gamma^2 K^\mu. \quad (2.14)$$

Substituting this in (1.17) – or by direct substitution of (2.8) in (1.9) – it can be seen that the extrinsic dynamical equation will reduce simply to

$$(Uv^2 - T)K^\mu = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

In so far as the longitudinal dynamical equations are concerned, it can be seen that the combination of the irrotationality condition (1.16) with the equilibrium requirement that derivatives with respect to k^μ should vanish leads to a conservation law of the form

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\rho(\gamma\mu) = 0, \quad (2.16)$$

which is the string analogue of the well known Bernoulli conservation law in irrotational perfect fluid theory. In the string case (unlike the perfect fluid case) one can also derive a corresponding dual conservation law from the other longitudinal dynamical equation (1.15), which similarly leads to

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\rho(v\gamma\nu) = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

For a generic equation of state (the noteworthy exception being the special case of the integrable equation of state characterised by the condition that the product of U and T be constant [8, 19, 21]) the constancy conditions (2.15) and (2.17) are independent and therefore sufficient to determine the constancy of the intrinsic state of the string, as specified by ν , i.e.

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\rho\nu = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

and also of the running velocity v .

It can be seen from the extrinsic equilibrium condition (2.15) that there are two qualitatively different ways in which local equilibrium with respect to a static Killing vector in a flat background can be achieved. One possibility is for the string to be straight, $K^\mu = 0$, in which case the running velocity v can have any (subliminal) value, including the zero value that corresponds to the strictly static case. The other possibility – the only one that is relevant when the curvature K^ρ is nonzero as must be the case for the closed loops with which the present work is concerned – is that of stationary but nonstatic states in which the running velocity has the nonzero value

determined uniquely (modulo a sign) as a function of the intrinsic string state by the formula

$$v^2 = T/U, \quad (2.19)$$

(which must hold not only in the generic case for which, according to (2.18) both the running velocity v and the intrinsic string state, as characterised by T and U are constant, but also in the exceptional case of the integrable string model[19] for which the running velocity v and the intrinsic state may vary along the length of the string).

The formula (2.19) is the same as the formula [8, 11] for the characteristic speed (relative to the intrinsically preferred rest frame specified by u^μ) of extrinsic perturbations of the world sheet. The result that has just been derived is therefore expressible as the *theorem* [18, 19] that in any curved equilibrium state of a simple elastic string model with respect to a static (non-rotating) Killing vector in a flat background the running velocity must be just such as to cancel the characteristic speed of relatively *backward moving extrinsic perturbations*, which are therefore static in the sense that the relative velocity, v_- say, of such relatively backward moving perturbations with respect to the static Killing vector k^μ is zero, i.e.

$$v_- = 0. \quad 2.20$$

It follows that, with respect to k^μ , the speed, v_+ say, of relatively *forward moving extrinsic perturbations* will be approximately twice the relative running speed v in the Newtonian limit in which the relative speeds are small compared with the speed of light (which is unity in the system we are using), the exact expression that will be valid for arbitrarily high velocities being given by

$$v_+ = \frac{2v}{1 + v^2}. \quad (2.21)$$

We remark that the relative characteristic speed, c say, of longitudinal perturbations, which is given [8, 11] by

$$c^2 = -\frac{dT}{dU} = \frac{\nu}{\mu} \frac{d\mu}{d\nu}, \quad (2.22)$$

may, depending on the equation of state, be less than or greater than or equal to that of the extrinsic (transverse) perturbations as given by the formula (2.19) for v and that in the former case the relatively backward moving longitudinal perturbations would be absolutely forward moving relative to the background frame specified by k^μ . Both from the point of view of secular stability with respect to radiation backreaction (whose analysis, to which we have alluded elsewhere [12, 19] is beyond the scope of the present discussion) and, as will be seen below, from the point of view of ordinary dynamical stability, it is the latter alternative $c \geq v$, that is most favorable. As well as applying to the familiar nonrelativistic Hookean (low tension) limit, the strict inequality $c > v$ will hold in particular for an equation of state of the simple constant trace type (i.e. a constant value for the sum $U + T$) that was implicitly used in many of the early discussions of superconducting cosmic strings [22-25], for which the longitudinal characteristic speed is actually equal to that of light, i.e. $c = 1$.

However, closer examination of the underlying superconducting string theory by Peter [13] has shown that it would be more accurate to use an equation of state for which the longitudinal characteristic speed is in the dangerous regime, meaning that it is lower than the transverse characteristic speed, i.e. $c < v$, while the special integrable string model referred to above [20, 21] corresponds to the intermediate case $c = v$.

3. Local perturbation equations for a curved equilibrium state.

Before proceeding, we need to introduce a mathematical device that is frequently useful [11] for dealing with dimensionally restricted physical models – such as the string case under consideration here – when one wishes to avoid getting involved in the technicalities of Lagrangian perturbation theory. In order to be able to work in terms of ordinary Eulerian perturbations, i.e. direct comparisons between perturbed and unperturbed configurations at the *same* fixed point in the background spacetime, one needs to use a model that spreads out beyond the single “physical” worldsheet in which one is ultimately interested. What is required for our purpose is a locally extended mathematical model constructed in terms not just of the single “physical” world sheet with which one is ultimately concerned, but also of a locally surrounding spacefilling congruence of “fictitious” world sheets, each of which is postulated to be separately subject to the *same* mathematical equations that are obeyed by the central “physical” world sheet within it.

Since it can be seen from (2.15) that the space configuration of an equilibrium configuration is arbitrary provided (2.19) is satisfied, it is easy to extend any “physical” equilibrium configuration of a string loop to a neighbouring congruence of equilibrium configurations simply by extending the field e^μ by parallel propagation orthogonal to the central “physical” world sheet, which can be seen from (2.9) to mean that its covariant derivative at any point on the physical worldsheet will be given in terms of the curvature vector of the latter by

$$\nabla_\mu e^\nu = e_\mu K^\nu. \quad (3.1)$$

Since this construction respects the stationarity condition (2.7) the necessary integrability conditions are satisfied as a triviality: the field e^μ set up in this way automatically commutes with the Killing vector field k^μ so that the two vector fields together will necessarily generate a well defined congruence of stationary world sheets. To complete the construction of the “unperturbed” state of the fictitious string congruence we postulate that the equilibrium conditions (2.16) to (2.19) are satisfied on each separate world sheet.

Under such conditions all that is needed for the equilibrium state of the extended model to be specified completely is the choice of the running velocity v on each separate world sheet. The simplest possibility, consistent with the longitudinal uniformity condition (2.18) is to take the running velocity and, hence, the intrinsic state of each string (as specified by its tension T , for example) to be uniform throughout. However, in order to retain the freedom of making alternative choices (for the purpose of checking consistency as a precaution against algebraic error) we shall provisionally retain the option of letting v vary from one world sheet to another as an arbitrary differentiable field.

Having chosen an equilibrium state in the manner just described, we now consider the dynamical evolution of an arbitrary small perturbation of it. Using the symbol δ to indicate an Eulerian differential, we can write the perturbations of the longitudinal dynamical equations (1.15) and (1.16) directly as

$$\delta(\mathcal{E}^{\rho\sigma})\nabla_\rho(\nu v_\sigma) + \mathcal{E}^{\rho\sigma}\nabla_\rho\delta(\nu v_\sigma) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\delta(\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu})\nabla_\rho(\mu u_\sigma) + \mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\rho\delta(\mu v_\sigma) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

After simplification by the use of the unperturbed equilibrium equations the corresponding perturbation of the transverse dynamical equation (1.17) takes the form

$$\perp_\rho^\mu \left\{ \delta(Uu^\nu)\nabla_\nu v^\rho - \delta(Tv^\nu)\nabla_\nu u^\rho + Uu^\nu\nabla_\nu\delta(v^\rho) - Tv^\nu\nabla_\nu\delta(u^\rho) \right\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Finally as the (very modest) price to be paid for the convenience of working in terms of simple Eulerian perturbations of unrestricted fields, we must complete the dynamic system by including the geometric surface integrability condition (1.8), whose satisfaction in this approach is not guaranteed automatically as it would be in a Lagrangian treatment. Taking the direct perturbation of the explicit version (1.18) of (1.8), and simplifying by the use of the equilibrium equations in the same way as was done for (3.4), one obtains the corresponding geometric surface integrability condition for the perturbed field variables in the form

$$\perp_\rho^\mu \left\{ \delta(u^\nu)\nabla_\nu v^\rho - \delta(v^\nu)\nabla_\nu u^\rho + u^\nu\nabla_\nu\delta(v^\rho) - v^\nu\nabla_\nu\delta(u^\rho) \right\}. \quad (3.5)$$

In order to proceed it is convenient to represent the longitudinal part of the perturbation in terms of a pair of infinitesimal scalars, α and ε say, and to represent the transverse part of the perturbation in terms of a pair of vectors, ζ_+^μ and ζ_-^μ say, subject to the orthogonality conditions

$$\eta^\mu_\nu \zeta_\pm^\nu = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

according to the following further specifications. Subject to the normalisation conditions (1.1) the most general perturbation of the frame vectors u^μ and v^μ is determined by and determines the vectors $\zeta_\pm^\mu$ and the scalar ε by the relations

$$\delta u^\mu = \varepsilon v^\mu + v(\zeta_-^\mu + \zeta_+^\mu), \quad \delta v^\mu = \varepsilon u^\mu + v(\zeta_-^\mu - \zeta_+^\mu), \quad (3.7)$$

where the coefficient v is the extrinsic propagation velocity as given by (2.19) which is included in order for ζ_-^μ and ζ_+^μ to be interpretable as the respectively “left” (relatively backward) and “right” (relatively forward) moving parts of the extrinsic perturbation. Finally the other infinitesimal amplitude α is defined as the logarithmic variation of the number density ν that was chosen in Section 1 as the basic independent variable for characterising the intrinsic equation of state, i.e. we set

$$\frac{\delta\nu}{\nu} = \alpha. \quad (3.8)$$

The corresponding formula for the variation of the chemical potential or effective mass variable μ that is required for the evaluation of (3.3) will then be obtainable using the equation of state as

$$\frac{\delta\mu}{\mu} = c^2\alpha, \quad (3.9)$$

where c is the longitudinal characteristic speed as given by the formula (2.22). As remarked above, in the constant trace model used in most early and many more recent discussions [22-25] of cosmic string dynamics the longitudinal perturbation speed is that of light, i.e. $c = 1$. In the more accurate models derived by Peter[13] its value is not just less than that of light but even less than the transverse characteristic speed, i.e. one has $c < v < 1$. On the other hand, in the low tension limit that has been experimentally familiar in the nonrelativistic regime since the time of Newton and Hooke, one has $v \ll c \ll 1$.

Using condition (3.1) and the fact that the orthogonality property (3.6) enables the contracted surface derivatives of the extrinsic perturbation vectors to be expressed in the form

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \zeta_\pm^\mu = -K_\mu \zeta_\pm^\mu, \quad (3.10)$$

we can rewrite the pair of longitudinal perturbation equation (3.2) and (3.4) in characteristic form, in terms of the quantities that have just been introduced, as the pair of equations

$$(u^\rho \pm cv^\rho) \nabla_\rho (\varepsilon \pm c\alpha) = \frac{(v \mp c)^2}{2v\nu} \{ (v \pm c) \zeta_-^\rho + (v \mp c) \zeta_+^\rho \} \nabla_\rho \nu + 2v\gamma^2 (v \pm c) K_\rho \zeta_+^\rho. \quad (3.11)$$

The pair of extrinsic equations can similarly be combined as a forward characteristic equation,

$$2v \perp_\rho^\sigma (u^\nu + vv^\nu) \nabla_\nu \zeta_+^\rho = -K^\sigma \{ 2v\varepsilon + (v^2 + c^2)\alpha \}, \quad (3.12)$$

in which it may be remarked that ζ_-^ρ does not appear at all, together with the integrability condition itself which takes the form

$$\perp_\rho^\sigma (u^\nu - vv^\nu) \nabla_\nu \zeta_-^\rho = \perp_\rho^\sigma (u^\nu + vv^\nu) \nabla_\nu \zeta_+^\rho. \quad (3.13)$$

It can be seen that the longitudinal equations (3.11) will greatly simplify if we now fix the choice of the unperturbed “fictitious” string states of the extended system by requiring that the intrinsic state as characterised by ν should be uniform throughout, i.e.

$$\nabla_\rho \nu = 0, \quad (3.14)$$

not just longitudinally along each individual world sheet as required by (2.18). In that case (3.11) and (3.12) will form a decoupled system of equations for the two longitudinal variables α and ε and the two independent components of the forward moving part ζ_+^μ of the extrinsic perturbation. The remaining equation (3.13) is the only one involving the other two independent components, namely those of the relatively backward moving part ζ_-^μ of the extrinsic perturbation. Application of the theorem mentioned at the end of Section 2 to the effect that the relatively backward moving extrinsic perturbation should have zero propagation speed relative to

the static Killing vector k^μ can be seen directly from the possibility of rewriting (3.13) in the form

$$\perp^\sigma_\rho k^\nu \nabla_\nu \zeta^\rho_- = \perp^\sigma_\rho \gamma^2 (1 + v^2) (k^\nu + v_+ e^\nu) \nabla_\nu \zeta^\rho_+, \quad (3.15)$$

where v_+ is the foreward extrinsic propagation speed given by (2.21). The decoupled system for the other four independent components is given by the analogous version of the characteristic evolution equation (3.12) for the foreward moving part of the extrinsic perturbation, i.e.

$$2\gamma v(1 + v^2) \perp^\sigma_\rho (k^\nu + v_+ e^\nu) \nabla_\nu \zeta^\rho_+ = -K^\sigma \{2v\varepsilon + (v^2 + c^2)\alpha\}, \quad (3.16)$$

together with the longitudinal equations which are expressible as

$$(1 + v^2)(k^\nu + v_+ e^\nu) \nabla_\nu \varepsilon + \{v(1 + c^2)k^\nu + (v^2 + c^2)e^\nu\} \nabla_\nu \alpha = 4\gamma v^2 K_\rho \zeta^\rho_+, \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$k^\nu \nabla_\nu \varepsilon - \gamma^2 \{v(1 - c^2)k^\nu + (v^2 - c^2)e^\nu\} \nabla_\nu \alpha = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

4. Global solution of the perturbation equations in the circular case.

In order to obtain a system whose global solution is easily calculable, we now restrict ourselves to the case in which the unperturbed equilibrium state is a circular ring configuration with fixed radius, r say, which means that the tangential derivative of the curvature vector K^μ will be given by

$$\eta^\nu_\rho \nabla_\nu K^\mu = -K_\nu K^\nu e_\rho e^\mu, \quad (4.1)$$

where its magnitude has the fixed value given by

$$K^\mu K_\mu = 1/r^2. \quad (4.2)$$

For such a configuration the perturbation may be conveniently analysed in terms of the natural time and angle coordinates which are specified (modulo a choice of origin) on the equilibrium world sheet by

$$k^\nu \nabla_\nu t = 1, \quad k^\nu \nabla_\nu \theta = 0, \quad e^\nu \nabla_\nu t = 0, \quad e^\nu \nabla_\nu \theta = 1/r. \quad (4.3)$$

We can consider a quite general perturbation as a discrete sum of Fourier components with respect to the periodic coordinate θ . For the scalar longitudinal perturbation variables α and ε the Fourier components are definable for each (by convention positive) integer $n = 0, 1, 2$, simply as the real parts of corresponding complex variables having the form

$$\alpha = \check{\alpha} e^{-in\theta}, \quad \varepsilon = \check{\varepsilon} e^{-in\theta}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\check{\alpha}$ and $\check{\varepsilon}$ satisfy the rotational invariance condition

$$e^\nu \nabla_\nu \check{\alpha} = 0, \quad e^\nu \nabla_\nu \check{\varepsilon} = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

so that they are functions only of t but not of θ . The corresponding Fourier components of the extrinsic displacement vectors $\zeta_{\pm}^{\mu}$ will be similarly expressible in the form

$$\zeta_{\pm}^{\mu} = \check{\zeta}_{\pm}^{\mu} e^{-in\theta}, \quad (4.6)$$

where the complex amplitude vectors $\check{\zeta}_{\pm}^{\mu}$ are rotationally invariant in the appropriate sense, which is not that of covariant differentiation but that of Lie differentiation with respect to the relevant angle Killing vector, re^{μ} . This Lie type constancy condition is just the natural generalisation of the condition (4.1), satisfied by the curvature vector K^{μ} itself, being expressible in terms of straightforward covariant differentiation as

$$\eta^{\nu}_{\rho} \nabla_{\nu} \check{\zeta}_{\pm}^{\mu} = -K_{\nu} \check{\zeta}_{\pm}^{\nu} e_{\rho} e^{\mu}. \quad (4.7)$$

Condition (2.3) ensures that with respect to the time Killing vector k^{μ} there will be no distinction between covariant and Lie differentiation which we shall denote simply by a dot. Thus for any Fourier mode of the kind just described, it can be seen that the derivations in the perturbation equations obtained in the previous section can be evaluated using the simple scalar expressions,

$$k^{\nu} \nabla_{\nu} \alpha = \dot{\alpha}, \quad k^{\nu} \nabla_{\nu} \varepsilon = \dot{\varepsilon}, \quad e^{\nu} \nabla_{\nu} \alpha = -i \frac{n}{r} \alpha, \quad e^{\nu} \nabla_{\nu} \varepsilon = -i \frac{n}{r} \varepsilon, \quad (4.8)$$

together with the corresponding vectorial expressions,

$$k^{\nu} \nabla_{\nu} \zeta_{\pm}^{\mu} = \dot{\zeta}_{\pm}^{\mu}, \quad \perp^{\mu}_{\rho} e^{\nu} \nabla_{\nu} \zeta_{\pm}^{\rho} = -i \frac{n}{r} \zeta_{\pm}^{\mu}. \quad (4.9)$$

Substitution of these relations enables us to reduce Eq. (3.15) for the relatively backward moving part ζ_{-}^{μ} of the extrinsic perturbation to the ordinary differential relation

$$(1 - v^2) \dot{\zeta}_{-}^{\mu} = (1 + v^2) \zeta_{+}^{\mu} - \frac{2inv}{r} \zeta_{+}^{\mu}. \quad (4.10)$$

The decoupled system (3.16) - (3.18) for the remaining variables α , ε , and the two independent components of ζ_{+}^{μ} is analogously reducible to the ordinary differential system,

$$2\gamma v \left((1 + v^2) \dot{\zeta}_{+}^{\mu} + \frac{2inv}{r} \zeta_{+}^{\mu} \right) = -K^{\mu} (2v\varepsilon + (v^2 + c^2)\alpha), \quad (4.11)$$

$$(1 + v^2) \dot{\varepsilon} - \frac{2inv}{r} \varepsilon + v(1 + c^2) \dot{\alpha} - (v^2 + c^2) \frac{in}{r} \alpha = 2\beta, \quad (4.12)$$

$$(1 - v^2) \dot{\varepsilon} - v(1 - c^2) \dot{\alpha} + (v^2 - c^2) \frac{in}{r} \alpha = O, \quad (4.13)$$

using the abbreviation

$$\beta = 2\gamma v^2 K_{\nu} \zeta_{+}^{\nu}. \quad (4.14)$$

The generic solution of the foregoing evolution equations will have the form of a normal mode as given in terms of some constant real or complex ω by

$$\check{\alpha} = \langle \alpha \rangle e^{i\omega t}, \quad \check{\varepsilon} = \langle \varepsilon \rangle e^{i\omega t}, \quad \check{\zeta}_{\pm}^{\mu} = \langle \zeta_{\pm}^{\mu} \rangle e^{i\omega t}, \quad (4.15)$$

where the (complex) bracket labelled quantities are all *constant* – not just with respect to the angle but also with respect to time, i.e.

$$\langle \dot{\alpha} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \dot{\alpha} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \dot{\zeta}_{\pm}^{\mu} \rangle = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

It can be seen from (4.10) that, as was to be expected from the general equilibrium theory described in section 2, for all values of n there are effectively *static* (zero eigenfrequency) perturbation modes for arbitrary time independent complex amplitudes $\check{\zeta}_{-}^{\mu}$ of the relatively backward moving extrinsic displacement vector with vanishing values of the relatively forward part and of the longitudinal perturbation amplitudes, i.e. modes characterised by

$$\omega = 0, \quad \zeta_{-}^{\mu} = \langle \zeta_{-}^{\mu} \rangle e^{-in\theta} \quad \zeta_{+}^{\mu} = 0 \quad \alpha = \varepsilon = 0. \quad (4.17)$$

It can also be seen that the azimuthal component of ζ_{+}^{μ} as projected orthogonally to the curvature (i.e. parallel to the axis of symmetry of the ring) will *decouple* completely from the rest of the system, which shows that for each value of n there will be a corresponding relatively forward propagating extrinsic mode with displacement parallel to the axis of symmetry that takes the normal mode form (4.15) with

$$\omega = n\Omega_{+}, \quad \zeta_{-}^{\mu} = 0, \quad \beta = 0 \quad \alpha = \varepsilon = 0, \quad (4.18)$$

using a notation scheme in which Ω and Ω_{+} denote the angular velocities corresponding to the unperturbed ring rotation velocity v as given by (2.19) and the relatively forward rotating extrinsic velocity v_{+} as given by (2.21), i.e.

$$\Omega = \frac{v}{r}, \quad \Omega_{+} = \frac{v_{+}}{r}, \quad (4.19)$$

so that (4.18) can be interpreted as meaning that relatively forward moving azimuthal modes consisting purely of extrinsic displacements parallel to the axis of symmetry will propagate with the same (characteristic) velocity v_{+} independently of their wavelength.

As the only nontrivial (wavelength dependent) part of the problem we are thus left with the subsystem of longitudinal and purely radial perturbations as specified in terms of just three perturbation variables which can be listed as the scalar β defined to be proportional to the radial component of the perturbation vector ζ_{+}^{μ} by the relation (4.14), together with the longitudinal perturbation amplitudes ε and α . The system governing the normal modes

$$\varepsilon = \langle \varepsilon \rangle e^{i(\omega t - n\theta)}, \quad \alpha = \langle \alpha \rangle e^{i(\omega t - n\theta)}, \quad \beta = \langle \beta \rangle e^{i(\omega t - n\theta)}, \quad (4.20)$$

of these three remaining variables is expressible in the matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & v^2 + c^2 & (1+v^2)\sigma - 2n \\ (1+v^2)\sigma - 2n & v^2(c^2+1)\sigma - (v^2+c^2)n & 2 \\ (1-v^2)\sigma & v^2(c^2-1)\sigma + (v^2-c^2)n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v\varepsilon \\ \alpha \\ i\beta r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.21)$$

in which the perturbation frequency variable ω has been replaced by the corresponding dimensionless ratio

$$\sigma = \omega/\Omega.$$

The system (4.21) evidently provides an eigenvalue equation for the frequency variable σ , which must be such that the corresponding determinant vanishes, i.e.

$$v^2(1+v^2)(1-c^2v^2)\sigma^3 + 2v^2(c^2-v^2-2(1-c^2v^2))n\sigma^2 + (4v^2(1-c^2)(n^2-1)-(1+v^2)(c^2-v^2)(n^2+1))\sigma + 2(c^2-v^2)(n^2-1)n = 0. \quad (4.23)$$

The condition for strict dynamic stability of the mode specified by a given value of the integer n is that the corresponding eigenvalue equation (4.23) should have three real roots, distinct from each other – and from the zero eigenvalue $\omega = 0$ corresponding to the solution (4.17) – in which case there will exist corresponding real values for the triplet of amplitudes $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$, $\langle \alpha \rangle$, and $i\langle \beta \rangle$. The presence of the factor i in the last of these is interpretable as meaning that there is a phase lag whereby the maximum variation of the intrinsic variables (such as the tension T) as determined by α , and of the longitudinal velocity variation, as determined by ε , will always coincide with the phase at which the radial displacement as determined by β is zero. The existence of complex roots will evidently imply strict dynamical instability, i.e. a mode that grows exponentially, while the special case of zero or mutually coincident eigenvalue solutions of (4.23) corresponds to the existence of a mode that is only marginally stable, not strictly stable, so that the normal mode form (4.20) may not be sufficient to provide the complete solution of its time evolution as in the generic case. It is evident that (4.23) has a zero eigensolution only for the trivial case $n = 0$ of a nearby concentric circular equilibrium state and for the almost equally trivial case $n = 1$, for which there are marginally stable state that grow not exponentially but *linearly* in time, and that are interpretable merely as equilibrium states with respect to nearby, slightly Lorentz boosted frames.

5. Demonstrations of stability in particular cases.

It is easy to check that, subject to the standard conditions [8, 11] for microscopic stability and causality, i.e.

$$0 < v^2 \leq 1, \quad 0 < c^2 \leq 1, \quad (5.1)$$

the conditions for dynamical stability will always be satisfied for the lowest modes that are characterised by $n = 0$ and $n = 1$.

In the case $n = 0$, corresponding to modes of pure conformal expansion or contraction in which the ring conserves its circular shape, the odd powers of σ in (4.23) drop out, so that the equation is easily solved to give either the trivial possibility $\sigma = 0$ – corresponding to a perturbation in which the original ring solution is merely replaced by another neighbouring ring state – or else the fundamental oscillation frequency which can easily be seen to be given by

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{c^2 - 3c^2v^2 + 3v^2 - v^4}{v^2(1+v^2)(1-c^2v^2)}. \quad 5.2$$

In the first general study of circular equilibrium states of the kind we are considering it was argued that stability with respect to axisymmetry preserving perturbations could be derived from the condition that the relevant total mass energy $\mathcal{M}$ should be a minimum. That requirement can be seen to be precisely equivalent to the reality requirement that must be satisfied by the dimensionless frequency σ since the formula that was obtained [8, 9] for the second derivative of $\mathcal{M}$ can be seen to be related to the fundamental angular frequency $\omega = \Omega\sigma$ obtained from (5.2) by the very simple relation

$$\omega^2 = \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \frac{d^2 \mathcal{M}}{dr^2}. \quad (5.3)$$

It was remarked in the original discussion that the right hand side of (5.3) would be positive, ensuring stability of the fundamental mode, in all the most obvious applications. A closer scrutiny shows that this is actually an understatement: the right hand side of (5.2) will in fact be positive in all cases without exception, provided the inequalities (5.1) are not violated, as can be seen by regrouping the terms in the form

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{2v^2(1-c^2) + (c^2+v^2)(1-v^2)}{v^2(1+v^2)(1-c^2v^2)}. \quad (5.4)$$

When we go on to consider the next level of excitation as characterised by $n = 1$ we find that since the inhomogeneous term in (4.23) still drops out we are again left with a trivial *zero* eigenfrequency (which corresponds to the possibility of a simple lateral displacement and whose degeneracy with (4.17) signals the existence of the marginally stable linearly growing mode corresponding to the further trivial possibility of a simple Lorentz boost), together with the pair of non-trivial oscillation frequencies that are obtained as solutions of the quadratic equation

$$v^2(1+v^2)(1-c^2v^2)\sigma^2 + 2v^2(c^2-v^2-2(1-c^2v^2))\sigma - 2(c^2-v^2)(1+v^2) = 0. \quad (5.5)$$

By casting the explicit solution in the form

$$\sigma = \frac{v(v^2-c^2+2(1-c^2v^2)) \pm \sqrt{c^2(1-v^4)+v^2(1-c^2v^2)}\sqrt{(1-v^4)+(1-c^2v^2)}}{v(1+v^2)(1-c^2v^2)} \quad (5.6)$$

it can be seen that, as in the previous case, the roots will always be real so long as the inequalities (5.1) are satisfied, since all the bracketted combinations within the square roots are manifestly positive.

For the purpose of investigating higher modes it is useful to use a rescaled variable

$$x = \frac{1+v^2}{2n}\sigma, \quad (5.7)$$

and to work in terms of a dimensionless “distension” parameter,

$$\Delta = \frac{c^2-v^2}{v_+^2(1-c^2v^2)}, \quad (5.8)$$

which can be seen to play a critical discriminating role. In terms of this parameter Δ and of the prograde rotation speed v_+ as given by (2.21) instead of the original

parameters c and v , the eigenvalue equation (4.23) can be rewritten in the convenient form

$$\left(x - 1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\left(x - 1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) = \Delta(1 - v_+^2)\left(x - 1 + \frac{1}{n^2}\right)\frac{x}{x + \Delta}, \quad (5.9)$$

whose solution can be interpreted as the geometric problem of finding the intersections of the parameter independent parabola determined by the left hand side with the hyperbola determined by the righthand side. Noting that the vertical asymptote to the hyperbola occurs where $x = -\Delta$, it can easily be seen that there will be a real negative root (corresponding to a retrograde mode) if and only if the distension parameter Δ is positive, and that if this condition is satisfied there will also be two real positive roots (corresponding to prograde modes). The condition of positivity of Δ is evidently equivalent to the condition that the dimensionless ratio

$$\chi = \frac{c}{v} \quad (5.10)$$

of longitudinal to extrinsic characteristic speed should be greater than unity,

$$\chi > 1. \quad (5.11)$$

This sufficient condition for stability can obviously be extended to cover the case for which the discriminant vanishes, i.e. for which

$$\chi = 1. \quad (5.12)$$

This special condition automatically holds in the special case of the integrable “constant product” model with equation of state $UT = m^4$ for some fixed mass parameter m – which arises naturally from Kaluza Klein theory [8] and is also relevant as a macroscopic (effectively renormalised) “warm” string approximation allowing [20, 21] for a thermal or other distribution of microscopic wiggles on an underlying Goto - Nambu model – and which is characterised by the condition that the two kinds of characteristic speed remain exactly equal as the tension varies. Since this property allows the equations of motion to be easily integrated explicitly in a flat background [20] it could have been predicted in advance that the present perturbation problem should also be easily soluble in this particular case. Such an expectation is indeed justified, since when the condition (5.12) holds the constant term (4.23) evidently drops out so that again, as for the other cases $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ one obtains a zero eigenfrequency $\omega = 0$ while the other two eigenfrequencies are got by solving a quadratic which leads to a result that is expressible very simply as

$$\omega = (n \pm 1)\Omega_+, \quad (5.13)$$

where Ω_+ is the angular velocity of relatively forward characteristic motion as given by (4.19). The manifest reality of this result confirms that for this particular model no instability occurs for any mode.

Knowing that the conditions (5.1) ensure stability in the large n limit [11] and having found no possibility of instability in the lowest modes, $n = 0$ and $n = 1$, nor for any value of n when $\chi \geq 1$, one might be tempted to conjecture that all modes will

necessarily be stable. To show that, despite the existence of all these positive results, unstable counterexamples *can* occur for models having equilibrium states with smaller values of the longitudinal to extrinsic propagation velocity, $\chi < 1$, it is sufficient to consider the Newtonian limit

$$v^2 \ll 1, \quad c^2 \ll 1. \quad (5.14)$$

for which the general eigenvalue equation (4.23) reduces to a form in which the ratio χ is the only parameter involved appart from the ratio n , the result that is obtained being expressible as

$$\sigma^3 - 4n\sigma^2 + \left(4(n^2 - 1) - (n^2 + 1)(\chi^2 - 1)\right)\sigma + 2n(n^2 - 1)(\chi^2 - 1) = 0. \quad (5.15)$$

The results obtained above to the effect that all the roots are real for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ will still of course apply to this limit in particular, but as soon as we go on to consider the next level of excitation as given by $n = 2$ we find that dynamic instability will indeed occur for any state that is “too taut” in the sense of having too small a value of χ . For the equation (5.15) the stipulation that the roots be real is equivalent to the requirement that the ratio χ be greater than a small but strictly positive critical limit value χ_c say, i.e. the condition for stability will be satisfied if and only if

$$\chi \geq \chi_c, \quad (5.16)$$

where the critical value is about 1/2, being given approximately by

$$\chi_c \simeq 0.47. \quad (5.17)$$

Since it is only displacements in the plane of the undisturbed configuration that are involved, this limit should be easy to test experimentally by spinning up an ordinary elastic string loop such as a thin elastic band on a smooth plane surface. In the Hookean low tension limit, χ will be very large so the danger of instability will not arise, but as the angular velocity and therefore also the tension of the loop is increased one would expect χ to decrease monotonically and ultimately to fall below the instability threshold (5.17).

In the relativistic regime relevant to the superconducting string loop problem that originally motivated this work the situation is, of course, more complex, depending not just on the ratio χ but on the absolute values of v and c . A more thorough analytic and numerical exploration of the zones of stability and instability in the general case, and of their relationship to the predictions of particular superconducting cosmic string models, will be postponed for a subsequent article [26].

References.

- [1] R.L. Davis, E.P.S. Shellard, ‘The physics of vortex superconductivity. 2’, *Phys. Lett.* **B209** (1988) 485.
- [2] R.L. Davis, ‘Semitopological solitons’, *Phys. Rev.* **D38** (1988) 3722.
- [3] R.L. Davis, E.P.S. Shellard, ‘Cosmic vortons’, *Nucl. Phys.* **B323** (1989) 209.
- [4] J. Ostriker, C. Thompson, E. Witten, ‘Cosmological effects of superconducting strings’, *Phys. Lett.* **180** (1986) 231.
- [5] E. Copeland, M. Hindmarsh, N. Turok, ‘Cosmic springs’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** (1987) 910.
- [6] D. Haws, M. Hindmarsh, N. Turok, ‘Superconducting strings or springs?’ *Phys. Lett.* **B209** (1988) 255.
- [7] E. Copeland, D. Haws, M. Hindmarsh, N. Turok, ‘Dynamics of and radiation from superconducting strings and springs’, *Nucl. Phys.* **B306** (1988) 908.
- [8] B. Carter, ‘Covariant mechanics of simple and conducting strings and membranes’, in *The Formation and Evolution of Cosmic Strings*, ed.G. Gibbons, S. Hawking. T. Vachaspati (Cambridge. U. P., 1990) 143-178.
- [9] B. Carter, ‘Mechanics of Cosmic Rings’, *Phys. Lett.* **B238** (1990) 166.
- [10] B. Carter, ‘Duality Relation between Charged Elastic Strings and Superconducting Cosmic Strings’, *Phys. Lett.* **B224** (1989) 61.
- [11] B. Carter, ‘Stability and Characteristic Propagation Speeds in Superconducting Cosmic and other String Models’, *Phys. Lett.* **B229** (1989) 446.
- [12] B. Carter, ‘Basic Brane Theory’, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **9** (1992) 19.
- [13] P. Peter, ‘Superconducting cosmic string: equation of state for spacelike and timelike current in the neutral limit’, *Phys. Rev.* **D45** (1992) 1091.
- [14] P. Peter, ‘Influence of the electric coupling strenght in current carrying cosmic strings’, *Phys. Rev.* **D46** (1992) 3335.
- [15] P. Peter, ‘Electromagnetically supported cosmic string loops’, *Phys. Lett.* **298** (1993) 60.
- [16] B. Carter, ‘Cosmic Rings as a Chump Dark Matter Candidate?’, in *Particle astrophysics: Early Universe and Cosmic Structures*, ed. J-M. Alimi, A. Blanchard, A. Bouquet, F. Martin de Volnay, J. Tran Thanh Van, (Editions Frontières, Gif-sur-Yvette, 1990) 213-221.
- [17] B. Carter, ‘Cosmological Relic Distribution of Conducting String Loops’, *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* **647** (1991) 758.
- [18] B. Carter, V.P. Frolov, O Heinrich, ‘Mechanics of Stationary Strings: Separability for non-dispersive models in black hole background’, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **8** (1991) 135.
- [19] B. Carter ‘Basic Brane Mechanics’, in *Relativistic Astrophysics and Gravitation* ed. S. Gottloeber, J.P. Muecket, V. Mueller, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992) 300-319.
- [20] B. Carter, ‘Integrable Equation of State for Noisy Cosmic String’, *Phys. Rev.* **D41** (1990) 3869.

- [21] A. Vilenkin, ‘Effect of small scale structure on the dynamics of cosmic strings’, *Phys. Rev.* **D41** (1990) 3038.
- [22] D.N. Spergel, T. Piran, J. Goodman, ‘Dynamics of superconducting strings’, *Nucl. Phys.* **B291** (1987) 847.
- [23] A. Vilenkin, T. Vachaspati, ‘Electromagnetic radiation from superconducting strings’, *Phys. Rev.* **D39** (1989) 379
- [24] D.N. Spergel, W.H. Press, R.J. Scherrer, ‘Electromagnetic selfinteraction of superconducting cosmic strings’, *Phys. Rev.* **D39** (1989) 379.
- [25] P. Amsterdamski, ‘Evolution of superconducting cosmic loops’, *Phys. Rev.* **D39** (1989) 1534.
- [26] X. Martin, ‘Zones of dynamical instability for rotating string loops’, *Phys. Rev.* **D50** (1994) 682.